

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,913	06/24/2003	Kenichi Hashizume	884A.0007.U1(US)	4355
29683	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/603,913	HASHIZUME ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Ünit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Rick K. Chang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1. cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2006</u> .					
·	,					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/603,913

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species 4 in the reply filed on 2/3/06 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 12 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishihara et al (US 5,118,458).

Nishihara discloses in Figs. 6-9 fist molding a cover with embedded circuitry, Fig. 13 shows interconnecting the layers as a second molding, Fig. 15 shows mounting components, all the layers are flexible and resilient member, the layers can be any number depending on the design criteria, Figs. show press moulding. It is inherent that conductive paste is a metallic material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 9-10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara et al (US 5,118,458) in view of Official Notice.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,913

Art Unit: 3729

Nishihara fails to disclose electroplating the electroconductive material onto the precursor.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to electroplate conductive material onto a substrate for forming conductors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nishihara by electroplating the electroconductive material onto the precursor, as taught by Official Notice, for the purpose of forming conductors.

8. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara et al (US 5,118,458)/Official Notice as applied to claims 5, 10, 12 and 13 above, and further in view of Politycki et al (US 3,767,538).

Nishihara/Official Notice disclose molding a conductive metal instead of resin.

Nishihara/Official Notice fail to disclose treating the resin layer with a seeding or conductive metal.

Politycki discloses treating the resin layer with a seeding or conductive metal (Abstrate).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nishihara/Official Notice by treating the resin layer with a seeding or conductive metal, as taught by Politycki, for the purpose of enhancing adhesion between a resin layer and a metal layer.

9. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara et al (US 5,118,458)/Official Notice/Politycki et al (US 3,767,538) as applied to claims 12-13 and 15 above, and further in view of Murakami et al (US 4,239,813).

Art Unit: 3729

Nishihara/Official Notice/Politycki fail to disclose that the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate.

Murakami discloses the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate (col. 1, lines 33-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nishihara/Official Notice/Politycki by the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate, as taught by Murakami, for the purpose of enhancing adhesion between a resin layer and a metal layer.

Conclusion

- 10. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 3729

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC May 1, 2006